UNITED STATES DISPRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA Chain Lowenstein, Chain Lowenstein, Chain Lowenstein, Plaintiff, vs. No.10-cV-02857-SRN-AJB Tom Kane, Junior, and Deitx-Kane and Associates Insurance Agency, Inc., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition in Additionable of Minnesota, comencing at approximately 9:00 a.s. Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, comencing at approximately 9:00 a.s. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1990 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Also present was Peter Nikolai. 11 PROCEEDINGS (Deposition Exhibit Numbers 1, 2 and 3 were marked for identification.) THOMAS KANE, Called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: *** *** *** *** *** *** ***	1 ± 1
Chaim Lowenstein, Chaim Lowenstein, Plaintiff, VB. No.10-CV-02857-SRN-AJB Tom Kane, Junior; and Deltz-Kane and Associates Insurance Agency, Inc., Defendants. The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the Country of Rameey, State of Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	3
Chaim Lowenstein, Chaim Lowenstein, Plaintiff, VB. No.10-CV-02857-SRN-AJB Tom Kane, Junior; and Deitz-Kane and Associates Insurance Agency, Inc., Defendants. The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vecki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the Country of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minnesota 55 (Deposition State) 19 APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Goffread The Paul Godfread ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikloai.	
Chaim Lovenstein, Plaintiff. vs. No.10-CV-02857-SRN-AJB Tom Kane, Junior? and Deitz-Kane and Associates Insurance Agency, Inc., Defendants. The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, All taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vickit a. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Airls Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
Chaim Lowenstein, Plaintiff, vs. No.10-cv-02857-SRN-AJB Tom Kane, Junior; and Deitz-Kane and Associates Insurance Agency, Inc., Defendants. The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before vicki a. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the Country of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. TAPPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Total Control of The Defendant: Thomas Okoneski 222 Allo of Minesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
Plaintiff, vs. No.10-CV-02857-SRN-AJB Tom Kane, Junior; and Deitz-Kane and Associates Insurance Agency, Inc., Defendants. The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the Country of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 16, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South. Minneapolis, Minnesota, taken on August approximately 9:00 a.m. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
Plaintiff, vs. No.10-CV-02857-SRN-AJB Tom Kane, Junior; and Deitz-Kane and Associates Insurance Agency, Inc., Defendants. The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minnespolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Also present was Peter Nikolai. BY MR. GODFREAD: All right, Mr. Kane. I'm Paul Godfread. I know we have already met. I am the attorne for Mr. Lowenstein, the plaintiff in the matter. Have you done a deposition before of been deposed before? A. One time in my life, yeah. Oo kay. Well, the only thing I'm going to ask is that you speak slowly and clearly so everything can be transcribed. Wait until I'm done with the question before answering just to make sure everything can be recorder clearly. A Very good. MR. GODFREAD: Okay. Any stipulations that anyone is wanting to put on the record ahead of time? MR. GODFREAD: MR. OKONESKI: Paul, I would just say at the outset that we are here under the understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed. MR. GODFREAD: Yes. MR. GODFREAD:	
Tom Kane, Junior; and Deitz-Kane and Associates Insurance Agency, Inc., Defendants. The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the Country of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, taken on August approximately 9:00 a.m. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
Tom Kane, Junior; and Deltz-Kane and Associates Insurance Agency, Inc., Defendants. Defendants. The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Also present was Peter Nikolai. BY MR. GODFREAD: Alto How we have already met. I am the attorne for Mr. Lowenstein, the plaintiff in the matter. Have you done a deposition before of been deposed before? A. One time in my life, yeah. Cokay. Well, the only thing I'm going to ask is that you speak slowly and clearly so everything can be transcribed. Wait until I'm done with the question before answering just to make sure everything can be recorder clearly. A Very good. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
Tom Rane, Junior: and Deitz-Kane and Associates Insurance Agency, Inc., Defendants. The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Also present was Peter Nikolai. BY MR, GODFREAD: A All right, Mr. Kane. I'm Paul Godfread. I chrow he have already met. I am the attorne for Mr. Lowenstein, the plaintiff in the matter. Have you done a deposition before on been deposed before? A One time in my life, yeah. Okay. Well, the only thing I'm going to ask is that you speak slowly and clearly so everything can be transcribed. Wait until I'm done with the question before answering just to make sure everything can be recorded clearly. A Very good. MR. GODFREAD: Okay. Any stipulations that anyone is wanting to put on APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: A Paul Godfread A Suite 1900 A Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski A CONESKI: Paul, I would just say at the outset that we are here under the understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed. MR. GODFREAD: Yes. MR. GODFREAD: Y	
Insurance Agency, Inc., Defendants. Defendants. The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the Country of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, commencing at 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the Country of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minnesotia, Commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: A Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey. State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. 1 APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
The Deposition of THOMAS KANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
The Deposition of THOMAS RANE, JR., taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota. commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. 1 APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
Deposition, taken before Vicki A. Gardner, a Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. 10 APPEARANCES: 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: 3 Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street 4 Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 10 N BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. 17 Q. Okay. Well, the only thing I'm going to ask is that you speak slowly and clearly so everything can be transcribed. Wait until I'm done with the question before answering just to make sure everything can be recorded clearly. 20 I'm done with the question before answering just to make sure everything can be recorded clearly. 21 Limit on Maye well, the only thing I'm going to ask is that you speak slowly and clearly so everything can be transcribed. Wait until I'm done with the question before answering just to make sure everything can be recorded clearly. 22 clearly. 23 A. Very good. 24 MR. GODFREAD: Okay. Any stipulations that anyone is wanting to put on 25 MR. OKONESKI: Paul, I would just as ay at the outset that we are here under the understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed. 4 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 4 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 5 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 6 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 7 Do MEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: 8 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to be the principal of another defendant, but that defendant is not being deposed. 9 So if inquiries start to get into the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going to have to object to that. So I don't know	
Notary Public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. 1	
Ramsey, State of Minnesota, taken on August 18, 2011, at 701 4th Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. 1	
Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 a.m. 20 I'm done with the question before answering just to make sure everything can be recorded clearly. 21	
approximately 9:00 a.m. 21 just to make sure everything can be recorded clearly. 22 clearly. 23 A. Very good. 24 MR. GODFREAD: Okay. Any stipulations that anyone is wanting to put on 25 stipulations that anyone is wanting to put on 2	
22 clearly. 23 A. Very good. 24 MR. GODFREAD: Okay. Any 25 stipulations that anyone is wanting to put on 1 APPEARANCES: 2 1 the record ahead of time? 3 Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street 4 Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 5 MR. GODFREAD: Paul, I would just 3 say at the outset that we are here under the 4 understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed. 5 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 6 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to 6 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to 7 be the principal of another defendant, but 7 Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North 8 Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. 11 to have to object to that. So I don't know	
23 A. Very good. 24 MR. GODFREAD: Okay. Any 25 stipulations that anyone is wanting to put on 25 stipulations that anyone is wanting to put on 26 The record ahead of time? 27 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: 28 MR. OKONESKI: Paul, I would just of say at the outset that we are here under the understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed. 28 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 29 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 40 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 41 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 42 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to of the principal of another defendant, but of that defendant is not being deposed. 43 Say at the outset that we are here under the understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed. 44 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 45 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to of the principal of another defendant, but that defendant is not being deposed. 46 So if inquiries start to get into the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going to have to object to that. So I don't know	
APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai.	
1 APPEARANCES: 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: 3 Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street 4 Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 5 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: 7 Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. 2 the record ahead of time? MR. OKONESKI: Paul, I would just 3 say at the outset that we are here under the understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed 4 understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed 5 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 6 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to 7 be the principal of another defendant, but 10 that defendant is not being deposed. 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 10 the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going 11 to have to object to that. So I don't know	
APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. Ithe record ahead of time? MR. OKONESKI: Paul, I would just say at the outset that we are here under the understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed MR. GODFREAD: Yes. MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to be the principal of another defendant, but that defendant is not being deposed. So if inquiries start to get into the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going to have to object to that. So I don't know	
ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDIANT: Thomas Okoneski 100 South Fifth Street Say at the outset that we are here under the understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed. MR. GODFREAD: Yes. MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to be the principal of another defendant, but that defendant is not being deposed. So if inquiries start to get into the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going to have to object to that. So I don't know	4
Paul Godfread 100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. 3 say at the outset that we are here under the understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed. 4 Understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed. 5 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 6 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to be the principal of another defendant, but that defendant is not being deposed. 9 So if inquiries start to get into the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going to have to object to that. So I don't know	
100 South Fifth Street Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 5 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. 100 South Fifth Street 4 Understanding that Tom Kane is being deposed. MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 6 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to 7 be the principal of another defendant, but 8 that defendant is not being deposed. 9 So if inquiries start to get into 10 the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going 11 to have to object to that. So I don't know	
4 Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 5 MR. GODFREAD: Yes. 6 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to 7 be the principal of another defendant, but 8 that defendant is not being deposed. 8 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. 9 Understanting that Toff Karle is being deposed. 8 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to 9 be the principal of another defendant, but 10 that defendant is not being deposed. 9 So if inquiries start to get into 10 the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going 11 to have to object to that. So I don't know	
6 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: 7 Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. 6 MR. OKONESKI: And he happened to 7 be the principal of another defendant, but 8 that defendant is not being deposed. 9 So if inquiries start to get into 10 the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going 11 to have to object to that. So I don't know	
ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: that defendant is not being deposed. So if inquiries start to get into the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going to have to object to that. So I don't know	
ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: 7 Thomas Okoneski 2223 Ariel Street North 8 Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. 7 De the principal of another defendant, but that defendant is not being deposed. 9 So if inquiries start to get into the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going to have to object to that. So I don't know	
2223 Ariel Street North 8 Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. 9 So if inquiries start to get into 10 the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going 11 to have to object to that. So I don't know	
Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 Also present was Peter Nikolai. Maplewood, Minnesota 55109 10 the Dietz-Kane side of things, we are going to have to object to that. So I don't know	
Also present was Peter Nikolai. 10 the Dietz-Name side of things, we are going to have to object to that. So I don't know	
11 Taidit 300 difything on your list that	
*** looked like the Dietz-Kane defendant might be	
12 14 inquired about today.	
14 DEPOSITION OF THOMAS KANE JR	
15 deposition. A separate notice and so forth.	
16 So just so we are on the record before we get	
Examination: Page 18 started just on that subject matter, that's Mr. Godfread 3	
18 the only thing of clarification I need at	
Exhibits: 20 this time, I think.	
19 1, 2 and 3 3 21 MR. GODFREAD: All right. And I	
21 have given you each a copy of three different	
22 exhibits that will be used today, just to ask	
23 24 questions about them.	
25 I know Exhibit 1 should be your	



5 1 A. I had stucco on my home that I experienced 1 answers to interrogatories and you answered water intrusion. So it was with a on behalf of yourself and on behalf of 2 2 3 contractor. 3 Dietz-Kane Insurance. But I think that for 4 Q. Okay. Have you ever been convicted of a 4 the most part I will be able to just use felony? answers on behalf of Kane himself for this 5 5 deposition. 6 A. No. 6 7 Q. Have you ever been convicted of a crime 7 MR. OKONESKI: Okay. Well, there 8 involving deceit or dishonesty? 8 aren't any answers from Dietz-Kane in here 9 A. Never. 9 because those were separate, correct? 10 Q. Some of the facts at issue in this case are 10 MR. GODFREAD: Actually, this 11 exhibit included both. But, like I said, I 11 sort of technical. How would you describe 12 your technical background, technical think we can -- we should be able to limit 12 knowledge? 13 questions to his answers for his own --13 MR. OKONESKI: I am going to object MR. OKONESKI: Okay. 14 14 to that as far too broad. Can you narrow it MR. GODFREAD: On behalf of 15 15 16 to an industry? Thank you. 16 himself MR. OKONESKI: I think in here we 17 BY MR. GODFREAD: 17 Q. As to internet domain names, what would you 18 generally objected to Dietz-Kane's questions. 18 19 say your knowledge of internet or domain 19 We might have answered some anyhow subject to names is? 20 2.0 those objections. But Dietz-Kane doesn't A. Not very much, no. 21 have separate interrogatories to it. 21 Q. Like how much? 22 Do we need to mark this as an 22 23 A. Well, not very much. I'm not an IT person, 23 exhibit? 24 if that's what you are asking me. 24 MR. GODFREAD: I have already given 25 Q. Sure. Okay. Let's move onto your 25 her copies. 6 8 MR. OKONESKI: Okay, thanks. 1 1 relationship with Mr. Lowenstein. Before we MR. GODFREAD: Exhibit 2 is a "who 2 2 get to that, what was your business 3 is." I just printed that out. I believe 3 background? 4 it's the same one I sent to you but it's 4 A. Through my entire career? 5 merely for illustration as an email. 5 Q. Maybe just some highlights. Right now, you 6 I believe you have seen this as 6 have an insurance agency? 7 part of the New York action. 7 A. Yes, I do. 8 MR. OKONESKI: Okay. Well, you can Q. What did you do before that? 8 9 lay the foundation with Mr. Kane. 9 A. I have done insurance for about 34 years. 10 MR. GODFREAD: Sure. I just wanted 10 There was a period of time where I ran 11 to make sure you had a copy of each. 11 another company with a partner and we did BY MR. GODFREAD: book publication and things of that nature. 12 12 13 Q. Mr. Kane, just a little bit of background. 13 Q. Have you had any side businesses while Have you ever been a witness in a legal 14 14 running the insurance company? 15 proceeding? 15 A. I'm not sure I know what you mean by a side A. No, I have not. 16 business. 16 17 Q. Have you ever been, other than this lawsuit 17 Q. Business dealings other than insurance? 18



- 18 or New York one before it, have you been a party in a lawsuit? 19
- A. Not against me, no. 20
- Q. Not as a defendant, you mean? 21
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. Have you been a plaintiff in a lawsuit?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 What was the nature of that lawsuit?

- A. I just explained that I had another company.
- Q. During the you said 30-some years of working 19 in the insurance business, have you had any
- 20
- 21 other business dealings that weren't
- 22 insurance business?
- 23 A. I am not sure I understand the question.
- Q. Well, for example, like -- it's fairly 24
- 25 straightforward. Other than insurance, did



9

1 you have even a part-time business or 2 anything like that?

- A. As I told you, I had another company that I 3
- worked in with a partner and I did that for 4
- 20 years simultaneously to my insurance 5
- 6 husiness
- 7 Q. Okay. What was that? What did that business
- 8 involve?
- 9 A. We had books published each year.
- 10 Q. That's the book publishing one. I 11 misunderstood your answer.
- A. I'm sorry. 12
- Q. No problem. How long have you known Mr. 13
- Lowenstein? 14
- A. You know, I'm really sorry I can't give an 15
- exact date on that but I would say that we 16
- 17 were working together for about two years, I
- 18 think, and I maybe knew him a year before
- 19 that.
- 2.0 But I couldn't give an exact date 21 without going back to look up certain 2.2 records.
- 23 Q. Is that two years from today or when?
- A. No, not from today. So, I want to say that 24
- maybe it was around 2005 or 4. I can't say 25

10

for sure.

1

2

3

8

14

15

16

17

But we engaged in a business together called Armor Core Technologies.

- 4 Q. That was the first you had any kind of
- 5 interaction you had with Lowenstein was Armor
- 6 Core?
- 7 A. No.
 - Q. What was before Armor Core?
- 9 A. I was helping out a company called -- I can't 10 remember the name of the company. But it was 11 a company I had independently invested in that they were looking to bring their data 12 13 recovery product to market.

And I kind of came aboard without being paid or anything like that, but to help them get the product out into the sales channel. And that was when I met Lowenstein.

- 18 Q. For this business, would you say your role was primarily an investigator or were you 19 20 doing some work with this business?
- 21 A. As I said, I was helping them without 22 monetarily being compensated to bring that 23 product to market.
- 24
- 25 trade shows. That is where I met Lowenstein.

So I attended a couple of IT shows,

1 An I, on behalf of that company, pursued him 11

12

- 2 due to the size of his company and so forth
- 3 to have them entertain the idea of bringing
- 4 this product to market with the computers
- 5 that they produced.
- 6 Q. When you met Lowenstein, you reached out to
- 7 him at that time or you sought him out, is
- 8 that fair to say?
- 9 A. Sure.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

19

21

- 10 Q. And then maybe a year after that is when you 11 started working together on Armor Core?
- 12 A. I don't know if it was exactly a year. But
- what ended up happening was things broke down 13
- 14 between the CEO of the company that owned the 15
 - product that I was trying to help out.

And, when I say broke down, what I mean by that is Lowenstein had samples of the product that they were going to be doing

testing and ultimately nothing was happening.

So that CEO had requested for Lowenstein to send the stuff back. At that

- stage of the game, Lowenstein had expressed
- his disappointment, et cetera, et cetera.
- But we had continued conversations about the
- 25 entire idea and principle behind data

1 recovery and so forth.

2 Do you want me to go into more detail on that? 3

- 4
- Q. Maybe a little more detail on how -- I mean,
- 5 if you had been running the insurance company
- 6 and didn't have technical background, how did
- 7 you find this data recovery product and how
- 8 were you intending -- that may be a multiple
- 9 question.

How did you find this data recovery

- product in the first place? 11
- A. It was introduced to me as an investment 12
- 13 opportunity.
- Q. And how were you intending to take it to 14
- market without -- as you said, you don't have 15
- technical or IT background. What was your 16
- 17 proposal to bring it to market?
- 18 A. To simply open the doors. What I was being
 - referred to as was the gate keeper.
- 20 Q. If you could turn your attention now to
 - Exhibit 1. Have you seen this document
- before? 22
- 23 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. The answers within it are answers that you 24
- 25 provided.



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

13 1 A. I would say yes. I signed the document. 2 Q. They are your answers for each of the interrogatories? 3 3 4 4 A. Sure. 5 Q. Interrogatory one involves identifying people 5 6

with knowledge about the allegations or 6 7 claims in this lawsuit. And you listed Maria

Albright, U.S. postal inspector. When did 8

9 you first meet Maria Albright?

10 A. I don't have the actual date, but I believe 11 it was in July of 2008. She and another federal person came into my office. 12

13 Q. So they came to you, you didn't go to them?

14 A. That's correct.

Q. When they came to your office, what were they 15 there for? Did they say? 16

17 A. Yeah, they were going to arrest me.

18 Q. Did they say what for?

A. Well, initially, they had a bunch of file 19 20 folders that they were interrogating me on, 21 assuming that I was a person involved in some

22 legal matters.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23 Q. The legal matters relate to this case?

24 A. Are you referring to the -- when you say

25 "this case" are you talking about --

25 when he wanted me to bring additional 14

1 investors into our company. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

25

I had agreed to that. However, I insisted that at that stage of the game I get books for the company to see what was going on. He had always taken care of all those duties.

That calls for speculation but go ahead and

try to do what you can as far as your recall

A. They were at my office for three days. After

will, to provide them additional -- all of

just for the record -- during those three

days, things that I had suspected and was

trying to determine on my own, I was very

And I wanted to do nothing but

A. I suspected that there was fraud taking place

A. Well, for a number of reasons. Specifically,

my suspicions came about in November of 2007

cooperate with them to clear myself from any

close to ultimately figuring out what was

cooperate with them.

going on.

illegal activities.

by Lowenstein.

BY MR. GODFREAD:

Q. What had you suspected?

Q. Why did you suspect that?

they left, I was given the assignment, if you

what I could, which I always just wanted to

You know, it became clear to me --

The books could not be produced. We were several months into excuses as to why they couldn't be produced. Ultimately, when they were produced, it lacked in many areas.

And in the month of February --Tom, should I -- excuse me. Should I just give him these details right now?

MR. OKONESKI: Well, it's a long narrative and typically we don't like these long narratives. But I think you should probably go ahead and get the basics on the table and we'll let Mr. Godfread take it further if he wants.

19

A. Sure. So in February of '08, I received a phone call from a company that went on to tell me that one of our company checks had

23 been attempted to be cashed, although they

24 felt that they caught the person.

And I had no idea what they were

Q. Lowenstein versus Kane, District of Minnesota.

MR. OKONESKI: Well, I'm going to object to that. Counsel knows exactly what claims are involved in this.

MR. GODFREAD: I do. I don't understand how --

MR. OKONESKI: Just let me finish, Paul, then you can have your chance.

And you are asking a layman for legal conclusions. But I will also tell Mr. Kane that if you will ask the question again, you can try to answer the question. Okay?

We have the objection on the record.

BY MR. GODFREAD: 15

16 Q. All right. What do you believe Maria 17 Albright knows about this that would relate 18 to this case, the Lowenstein v Kane?

A. All she would know at this point is that 19 20 Lowenstein had placed a suit against me 21 regarding the domains.

22 Q. Was it roughly 2008, she had contacted you. 23 How frequently did you communicate after

that? 24

25 MR. OKONESKI: I'm going to object.

Toll Free: 866.646.0618 Facsimile: 612.332.4233

15

16

19 17 talking about. But it led me to go back to 1 Vic LeLauries. Am I saying that right? 1 A. DeLauries. 2 2 previous conversations that I had with 3 Q. How long have you known Vic? 3 Lowenstein, which all had to do with checks A. I would say I have known Vic for about ten 4 4 he was having sent to the office that he needed to have forwarded back to New York. 5 years. 5 6 Q. Has he been an employee of Dietz-Kane or any These were what he referred to as 6 7 other companies that you have owned or worked 7 rebate checks for his web company. MR. OKONESKI: I'm sorry, excuse 8 8 9 A. No. 9 me. What was the name of the company? Do Q. Has he been like a contractor for Dietz-Kane? 10 you know. 10 11 THE WITNESS: Web Commerce. Or Web 11 MR. OKONESKI: I'm going to object Com 247. 12 to that question. If you want to depose 12 Dietz-Kane, you have got to get them in here, MR. OKONESKI: Thanks. 13 13 14 A. At that point in time, I called that company 14 MR. GODFREAD: You placed this on back. I took their name and phone number, 15 15 his own answer. Maybe I'll ask more 16 16 after I had a conversation with Lowenstein 17 generally. 17 because he told me that I had nothing to BY MR. GODFREAD: 18 worry about there and blah, blah, blah. 18 19 Q. In what capacity do you know Vic? 19 I just simply wasn't going to A. He is an IT person. 20 20 accept all the excuses anymore. I wanted our Q. And you have hired him for IT-related 21 attorney involved, which ultimately we did 21 services? 2.2 get our attorney involved. 22 A. Not for me personally. But he has done work 23 23 BY MR. GODFREAD: Q. Was that Mr. Okoneski or a different 24 for my insurance agency in the past. 24 25 Q. What kind of work, would you say? 25 attorney? 18 20 A. No, it was the attorney that worked for the 1 A. Well, in my business we have computers and company that Lowenstein and I were engaged occasionally we become infected or a computer 2 2 3 3 could have some malfunction. 4 Q. Who was that? 4 Also, from a website standpoint, he 5 A. Her name was Patricia. I forget the last 5 develops websites. Things of that nature. 6 name but I can certainly --6 Q. I believe in interrogatory 2 you mention some 7 Q. Okay. 7 of what -- I will give you a second to look 8 A. It's all there. 8 at that. 9 Q. Just wanted to clarify. 9 Vic DeLauries has knowledge of plaintiff creating emails purportedly from A. Sure. So at that stage of the game, that's 10 10 11 when things really started to escalate where 11 Kane to various parties but that were there was an awful lot of dishonesty and 12 actually sent by Plaintiff. 12 13 deception and lack of trust now on my part 13 What emails are you referring to with him. 14 14 there? Q. Sure. A. Well, so, not too long after the postal 15 15 16 inspectors had been in my office I received a A. So. 16 17 Q. Okay. And any of these rebate checks were 17 phone call from one of the vendors that we

18 getting mailed to St. Paul or New York or

where were they getting mailed to or from? 19

A. St. Paul. That's where my office is.

21 Q. Did you cash any of these checks?

22 A. Never.

23 Q. Or deposit them in Armor Core accounts?

A. No. 24

25 The person you listed on interrogatory one is

18 had used within Armor Core. I had not spoken to this vendor for 19

20 sometime. In fact, I had not spoken to any 21 of our vendors for months at that point in

22 time because I was disengaged for the company

23 to move forward.

24 In that phone call he asked me if 25 everything was okay and I said, well, not



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

can, Tom.

21

really. He said, "I wondered," he said,
"because I received the craziest email that I
thought you would want to know about." I
said, "Okay. What's that?"

And so he sent the email to me of

And so he sent the email to me of which in that particular email it was preposterous. It laid out that my insurance business was going to take over this coffee company and so forth.

I had gone back to -- immediately once I got ahold of that, I sent that off to the postal inspector letting her know how I viewed what was going on there and it looked like he was trying to set me up for something.

She had informed me that you can always determine where emails are originated from.

19 Q. Who is she?

20 A. Maria Albright.

21 **Q.** Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

24

A. And I said okay. And so I went back to my IT person, Vic, and he said as long as we get it

in its original format and I got that from

the vendor and sent that off to Vic.

25

17

2 1 BY MR. GODFREAD:

Q. Are there any facts in that complaint thatyou recall that would relate to this case?

to that. It calls for a legal conclusion.

A. I'm not sure I understand the question. So

Q. Well, you listed it as a document you have

What facts are in that complaint?

If you can't recall, that's perfectly

complaint so you can refresh your

at the deposition. Do we, Paul?

recollection on what facts are actually

contained in there, you are entitled to do

that. Otherwise, you don't have to guess.

printed up but I could get one printed up.

Maybe I will ask a little narrower question.

I don't know if we have one here

MR. GODFREAD: I don't have one

acceptable. If you want to review the

that would have facts relevant to this case.

complaint that Mr. Godfread is talking about,

If you feel you need to review the

that's a reasonable request at a deposition.

MR. OKONESKI: Just a moment, Tom.

if you could ask that again.

BY MR. GODFREAD:

But you can go ahead and try to answer if you

4 A. And so, I just want to be clear, Paul.

5 Q. Yeah.

A. Are you asking me the allegations made
 against me by Lowenstein, how they relate to
 the postal matter?

Q. Well, your answer was to the question in this
 interrogatory was what documents might you
 have that would have facts relevant to this
 case.

And you answered complaint of U.S.

V Lowenstein. And I guess I am asking why
specifically, what facts did you think were
in that complaint that were relevant to this

18 A. Okay. So, I think I understand the question.

My answer to that would be I was fully

cooperating with the postal inspector's

office. And in doing so, I made copies of

everything that had to do between Lowenstein

and myself, which included from the time that

the Armor Core website area was under my

control.

case?

And he in turn showed me how you determine where emails are generated from. And it turned out to be an IP address in New York.

So I felt fairly comfortable at that point in that if this ever came up again that I could certainly -- anyone that has knowledge in that world would be able to prove out that I had nothing to do with anything of that at all.

11 Q. Sure. Do you ever travel to New York?

A. I think I was in New York one time in my life
 in the mid '80s. That was for the other
 publishing company. I was in and out the
 same day.

16 Q. Never since then?

to this one?

17 A. No.

Q. Okay. Also on interrogatory 2 for yourself,
 this would be listed as Page 5 in Exhibit 1,
 lists the complaint of the United States of
 America verses Lowenstein as, I guess, having
 facts relating to this case.
 In what way does that case relate

MR. OKONESKI: I'm going to object

ESQUIRE an Alexander Gallo Company

Toll Free: 866.646.0618 Facsimile: 612.332.4233

23

24

Suite 500 701 4th Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55415 www.esquiresolutions.com

25 27 1 Because I didn't have the user name 1 They then knew of everything, all 2 and password to get into Armor Core, Go Daddy 2 the correspondence that was going on between 3 was willing to provide it to me if I could 3 Lowenstein and myself which had to do with my answer a few questions, one of which was the 4 4 attempts to get his items back to him once I last four digits of this credit card, which 5 5 learned of those, which also had to do 6 was under my name. with -- just so that the paper trail would 6 7 And they, in turn, allowed me into always be there, knowing that I was always up 7 front and honest with everybody from day one. 8 that -- I'm not sure what you call that. 8 Okay. But at that point, I could control the 9 9 So, to answer your question more 10 Armor Core stuff. 10 specifically, everything was copied and 11 provided to them. 11 Q. Okay. 12 A. And I'm not an IT person, but what I did Q. Okay. Turn to your answer to interrogatory 12 ultimately learn is that the way that gets number 6 which is on Page 9. No, sorry. I 13 13 14 set up was that Armor Core was the don't believe that's correct. 14 administrator and underneath all that there 15 15 Sometime during the postal service were a bunch of different domain names. 16 16 investigation, is it true you became the 17 Why they were there, I have no idea 17 administrator of some of the domain names 18 because they had nothing to do with Armor 18 that had been owned by Lowenstein? 19 Core. But they were there. A. Actually, from a timing perspective, I 19 20 The fact is, in order to retain the 20 believe that I had just taken over the Armor 21 Armor Core stuff in talking with the people 21 Core stuff with Go Daddy, I want to say at Go Daddy, I needed to change the password 22 within days before they walked into my 22 23 and these items. And we did that. 23 office. 24 By the following Monday, I had 24 Q. So this would be like Armor Core -- I'm 25 received a phone call from the local police making this up -- Armor Core dot com, if that 25 28 26 department questioning me as to being a cyber 1 was the domain name. It would be something 1 criminal. I gave them a brief description of 2 relating to the Armor Core name? 2 3 A. Correct. 3 what had occurred. 4 Q. Did you also become the domain administrator 4 Q. Which police department is this? 5 for Coffee 247 dot com? 5 A. The St. Paul Police Department. And I, in 6 A. Okay, so here is what I would answer to that 6 turn -- which by the way there is a separate 7 due to how things occurred. Armor Core 7 division within the St. Paul Police 8 Technologies had an account with Go Daddy. 8 Department about cyber criminal. Q. Okay. 9 This was on a Friday, Paul, that I had made 9 10 -- there were many things that led up to 10 A. I, in turn, contacted Lowenstein to find out what he had done to create such drama. And 11 this. 11 12 But I had wanted to understand more 12 at that stage of the game, what had happened 13 about what was going on behind the scenes 13 was due to all the efforts made on his part, 14 within Armor Core, which was controlled 14 the Go Daddy folks locked everything down. always by Lowenstein. So I could not get into it, he 15 15 16 I discovered there may be a way 16 could not get into it, nothing. 17 that I could get into the Armor Core website 17 Q. You said you first -- this was Friday when you had first gone to Go Daddy? 18 and emails and all this stuff through the 18 19 help of Vic and made an effort to get in A. It was on a Friday. 19 20 there. 20 Q. By Monday, St. Paul police had contacted you

21

23

24

25

22 A. Correct.



And the way in which we were able

to go in there relates to other things that

understanding of a credit card being used to

had led up to that, which was my

pay for things by Lowenstein.

21

2.2

23

24

25

Toll Free: 866.646.0618 Facsimile: 612.332.4233

about potential cyber crime issues?

Q. And you had mentioned you made the decision

the postal inspector contacted you. Is that

to do something about the domain names before

29 31 1 Q. Your dealings with Go Daddy, that was also correct? 1 2 before the postal service contacted you? 2 A. Say that again. 3 Maybe I will be more specific. 3 Q. I think earlier -- maybe I am wrong, maybe I 4 You had mentioned you logged in. 4 misheard -- you said that you made the You were able to control Armor Core and 5 decision to do something about the Armor Core 5 whatever other domains were in the same domains even before the postal service had 6 6 7 account. 7 contacted you? 8 That was before postal service 8 A. Everything I just explained to you happened 9 contacted you, as well? 9 before that --10 A. Yes. 10 MR. OKONESKI: Just a second, Tom. 11 My recollection is you asked him or 11 Q. Had you made an attempt to see if it was 12 possible to administer just the domain names he volunteered the information that because 12 13 that related to Armor Corp or names that 13 of suspicious business activity related to 14 sounded like Armor Core and looked like Armor 14 Lowenstein and Armor Corp Technologies, Mr. Core? 15 15 Kane wanted to investigate what was going on. 16 A. Yes. 16 Part of the investigation included Q. Was that possible? 17 17 trying to figure out -- he wanted to get into A. Well, there were several things that were 18 the emails and he wanted to look and see what 18 19 happening simultaneously. I had a 19 was happening with the Armor Core website. 20 conversation with Go Daddy. While they were 2.0 He is a members of Armor Corp. He is 21 on the phone with me, they were explaining to 21 entitled to do that at least. me how to move certain domains from the Armor 22 So his answer was that he was 22 23 Core stuff to a different domain. 23 trying to investigate Lowenstein's --24 We spent about, I would say, an 24 MR. GODFREAD: I'm just clarifying 25 hour or so on the phone trying to make that 25 the time frame. 30 32 1 MR. OKONESKI: I know. I'm just 1 happen but the stuff didn't move over for 2 telling you what you just asked my client was 2 some reason. 3 actually an accusation, which was that you 3 But then simultaneously throughout 4 decided you were going to do something about 4 this period of time or this time frame, I had 5 the domain names at that time and his answer 5 been in communications with Lowenstein to 6 was -- the inference is that he had made up 6 allow him to be able to get back into the 7 his mind he was going to take control of 7 8 those domain names. 8 But I had reservations because I 9 But his actual answer was, all he 9 didn't want to release the Armor Core stuff 10 wanted to do was investigate and see what was 10 that was there. But, do keep in mind that 11 going on in there. So not intentionally, but 11 there was a period of time -- again, without 12 I think you are putting words in my client's 12 going back to look at emails, there was a 13 mouth. 13 period of time where everything was locked MR. GODFREAD: Is that an 14 down so neither myself or Lowenstein could 14 objection? 15 15 get into that area. MR. OKONESKI: Yes. 16 16 As a result of that, ultimately the 17 BY MR. GODFREAD: 17 Go Daddy folks did their investigation and 18 Q. All right. So maybe I can find a better way. 18 ultimately released everything back to me Your decision to investigate the domains, and I suspect that's because on their due 19 19 20 that decision happened before you had been 20 diligence they probably discovered that the 21 contacted by the postal service? 21 things were actually on a credit card of mine A. When you say "domains," you are saying 22 22 with my name. It's my guess. 23 plural. My decision to find out what was 23 However, that took some time. I

24

25



postal people coming in.

24 25 going on with Armor Core was prior to the

Toll Free: 866.646.0618 Facsimile: 612.332.4233

can't remember how many weeks. But I believe

it was during that time frame with things

33 35 1 MR. OKONESKI: Objection. It calls 1 being locked down that the postal folks came 2 for a legal conclusion. You can tell him 2 3 what you think. 3 And again I would need to double 4 A. Yeah, I would guess there is a big 4 check that record. But I think that would be 5 difference. pretty accurate. Which means I couldn't 5 BY MR. GODFREAD: share that with them at that point when they 6 6 7 Q. Okay. Maybe now is as good a time as any to 7 were in my office. turn to Exhibit 2. Exhibit 2 is the Go Daddy 8 8 Q. Couldn't share what exactly? 9 report. 9 A. I couldn't share with them the Armor Core A. I show it as number 3. 10 email stuff or any of that because I didn't 10 11 have access to it yet. 11 MR. OKONESKI: I might have mis-12 marked it, too, Paul. Q. Okay. 12 BY MR. GODFREAD: A. I hadn't taken time. I hadn't -- none of 13 13 Q. I have it as 2. But just for the record, we 14 14 that. are looking at the one with Go Daddy dot com 15 15 Q. Right. Was it your intention in transferring to yourself the domain names -- what was your 16 on the top. Web screen shot printout. Have 16 17 you seen this before? 17 intention in doing that? 18 A. No, I have not. 18 MR. OKONESKI: Well, just a second. 19 Q. Have you seen something similar to this 19 Objection. It's another accusation, Paul. I 20 before? 20 mean, I don't think you did it intentionally, A. No. 21 but what Mr. Kane said was he became the 21 Q. This was something I printed from Go Daddy 22 administrator. 22 23 and I believe I mailed a copy of this to your 23 And what you have just said to him 24 attorney. But as you can see, it shows your 24 is when you took control of -- or you 25 name, Tom Kane, as the registrant 25 transferred the domain names to Kane himself. 34 36 1 Those are two different concepts. 1 administrative contact and technical contact MR. GODFREAD: How so? 2 2 for 247 coffee dot com. 3 MR. OKONESKI: Transferring domain 3 Do you believe that is accurate? 4 names is you are trying to take title to 4 A. No. 5 these things and own them. He just wants to 5 Q. In what way do you believe it is inaccurate? A. From my standpoint, the registrant for Armor 6 be the administrator. 6 7 MR. GODFREAD: Is there a technical 7 Core is what I had done. 8 difference you would like to put on the 8 Q. Meaning what? 9 record between being the administrator of the 9 A. Meaning when I was able to get into the Go domain name and being the owner of the domain 10 10 Daddy website area for Armor Core, my name 11 name? had to be on there in a different password in 11 MR. OKONESKI: Yes. If you are order to lock Lowenstein out. 12 12 13 going to ask about -- he has testified he 13 Q. Was it your intention to lock Lowenstein out became the administrator. He never said that from, let's say, 247 coffee dot com? 14 14 he transferred title to those domain names to 15 15 A. Of course not. 16 himself. So we can keep that distinction. 16 Q. Was it your intention to lock him out from 17 MR. GODFREAD: I'm just not sure 17 domain names relating to Armor Core? By that I mean any domain name that would have Armor 18 what the distinction is in the context of a 18 domain name. Core, something similar in the name? 19 19 A. Then you should check with Go Daddy. They A. For Armor Core, yes. 20 20 21 did it. Not me. Q. Okay. But not for any other domain names? 21 22 BY MR. GODFREAD: 22 A. Of course not.

23

24

25

A. No, I did not.



Q. You believe there is a distinction between

being the owner of a domain name?

being the administrator of a domain name and

23

24

25

Toll Free: 866.646.0618 Facsimile: 612.332.4233

Q. Did you know that that might be the effect of the changes you had made with Go Daddy?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

38

37

Q. But since then, you know that has been the 2 effect, is that true?

3 A. No, because no one can have access to it.

When Lowenstein placed his first suit,

- Go Daddy locked everything down. So they 5
- have owned it all since. It's out of my 6
- 7 hands.

4

- Q. So it's your -- basically, I'm paraphrasing, 8 9 you are saying Go Daddy owns 247 coffee dot
- 10 com?
- 11 A. I say that --

MR. OKONESKI: I'm going to object, 12 13 Tom. It calls for a legal conclusion. So that's on the record. 14

15 But you can try to answer the 16 question.

17 A. Paul, I do not know how Go Daddy does their 18 business. I don't understand how the domain

stuff all goes down. 19 20 I have never opened one on my own. 21 I paid people to do that stuff. I don't

understand the technical part of it at all. BY MR. GODFREAD: 23

24 Q. Okav.

22

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A. But if you are asking me at one point was I 25

I just want out.

Q. Did you list any of those emails in your 2 answer to interrogatory 2 as documents that 3 4 would relate to this case?

> MR. OKONESKI: Paul, I'm going to object to that question on the basis that the interrogatories speak for themselves. If you will take a look at paragraph 6 on Page 6.

39

40

MR. GODFREAD: Yes.

MR. OKONESKI: You will note that other than the above listed documents, I have said there are many, many documents in my office that relate to this case.

You were invited to come over, review those documents, copy them at your leisure, and you haven't taken the -- I was going to say the time, but that's not very kind.

You haven't taken the opportunity yet to do that and I wouldn't expect that Mr. Kane is going to remember any details on probably what amounts to 300 or 400 documents.

24 BY MR. GODFREAD:

25 Q. All right. But the emails you refer to just

aware that those other domains sat under the

- 2 Armor Core name, yes, and I made all kinds of
- 3 attempts to get those back into Lowenstein's
- 4 hands.
- 5 Q. What kind of attempts?
- 6 A. If he didn't produce the emails to you, then 7 I guess at some point we could produce them.

There were many attempts to -- I had even wanted to give him a password at one point in time for what was the area where the things didn't really transfer over to.

Again, it was all fruitless attempts when you have someone on the other end that wants to make it look like he is a victim of something and he is doing everything in his power to not cooperate to get things resolved.

And coincidentally, throughout that whole process, I had been making every effort possible to just get away from this individual with the whole Armor Core deal.

And there is all kinds of emails that will show that. And things kept unfolding at the end which that's why it always led me to say what is going on here? 1 recently, there is no reference to those in

2 this interrogatory. That's true. In your

answer to this interrogatory?

4 A. I think it is referenced here. There is all 5 kinds of documents. I mean, am I the

6 defendant here? Or am I the plaintiff? Do

you want me to -- what do you want me to do?

What would you like from me?

MR. OKONESKI: No, Tom. Just a second. You don't get to ask questions. Just go ahead.

If you don't understand a question, if you don't want to answer it, just say so. But you don't need to ask questions.

A. I don't understand the guestion and I think 15 16 that it's been answered in these documents 17 and I think my attorney has also given you 18 the permission to go and look at other

things. 19

20 BY MR. GODFREAD:

21 Q. Sure. Have you stated that part of your 22 intent in becoming the administrator of the

websites was to preserve evidence? Is that a

fair statement? 2.4

25 A. Yes.



41

Q. What kind of evidence did you want to 2 preserve?

4

5

6

7

8

1

2

3

7

3 A. All of the emails and email addresses that were set up in the account Lowenstein had control of.

> And once I was able to get in there, I could see everything that he had and was doing. And I wanted to preserve that.

- 9 Q. You were able to preserve this evidence by 10 transferring the administrative rights to the 11 domains?
- A. By being able to change the password and so 12 forth for him to get in there, yes. 13
- Q. Did that necessarily involve transferring the 14 domain? Not just changing the password, 15
- sorry, but did that necessary involve 16 17
- transferring or changing the administrative 18 contact information for all of the domains?
- A. Well, there is only one area that you change 19
- 20 things in. And, again, I'm going by memory.
- 21 When my IT guy was in there, it was just 2.2 about changing up my name and confirming the
- 23 credit card information and the password.

24 And as I mentioned before, if you were to go into a Go Daddy -- which that was 25

1 A. No.

6

Q. The email, would possibly appear to be from 2 3

43

44

you but are you saying you did not write that 4

- A. That's correct. 5
- Q. Earlier, you had said you had worked with Vic 7 in determining whether you could find out the
 - source of the email. Was this one of the
- 8 9 emails you had looked at to see if you could
- determine the source of the email? 10
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Were there other emails that were like this in subject? 13
- 14
- Q. Is the email address Tom at Armor 247 dot com 15 one that you have used? 16
- 17 A. Yeah. I mean, when we had the Armor Core
- Technology business, I think there was an 18
- 19 email set up under that name for me, yes. 20
 - I want to verify that, but I think
- 21
- Q. Subject to verification. If you later say 22
- no, it was something Tom K at -- all right. 23
 - You believe it was an email you used?
- 25 A. Tom at Armor 247?

the only time I was ever in there -- that part of it, you would see that it's one block of information that gets answered as I am

4 attempting to control the Armor Core piece. 5 And then anything that's underneath 6 the Armor Core stuff, I imagine that that

change applied to all. Guessing.

- 8 Q. You said you were attempting to preserve 9 evidence. While doing that, did you make 10 copies of any of these emails?
- A. Oh, yeah. 11
- Q. How about the websites that were posted or 12 13 that these domains resolved to?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Didn't make any copies of the websites? 15
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 3. The emails 18 is what I have as Exhibit 3. Have you seen this document before?
- 19 A. I think I did, yes. 20
- 21
- Q. When did you see it?
- A. When the suit was originally brought forward 22 23 in the State of New York against me. I
- 24 believe this document was produced to me.
- 25 Q. But before that, you had never seen that?

1 Q. Right.

24

7

8

9

42

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So the email reads -- I don't know if you
- 4 want to read it -- but in the email there is
- 5 a second line before the signature: You can
- 6 start by paying me \$5,000 money order. Send
 - it to Dietz-Kane Insurance, 674 6th Street
 - East, St. Paul, Minnesota 55106.

Now, you have already said you 10 didn't write this email. Have you ever made

- 11 a similar demand of Lowenstein?
- A. The only thing I would answer to that --12 13 again, I would want to refer back to emails,
- 14 but we had email exchanges going to try and
- 15 dilute the company, to determine who would
- 16 take the company and who wouldn't.

17 Would the number \$5,000 be in there

- 18 somewhere? Maybe. I don't know. But, you
- know, clearly, this is a cut and paste thing 19
- 20 because it's different -- if you can't see
- 21 that with the naked eye, I don't know what to 22 tell you there, Paul.
- 23 Q. How do you know it's a cut and paste?
- A. You can see the type face is different. 24
- 25 There is one type face. (Indicating). There



45

another type face. 1

2 Q. Okay. But I mean, can you confirm it wasn't

3 just a different type face used within the

- 4 email?
- A. Well, I would imagine I can't. I can only go
- by what I see with the naked eye. 6
- 7 Q. You don't know that it was cut and paste.
- 8 It's just your suspicion based on the fact
- 9 that there is two different type faces?
- 10 A. No. It would be my suspicion based on the 11 behavior of your client.
- Q. Such as if you are saying his behavior, why 12 would you say that he would make up a phony 13
- email? 14
- 15 A. Because, as I told you before, I had received
- 16 an original email from an old vendor that
- 17 proved out that it came from Lowenstein
- 18 himself.
- Q. As to that email, how would you say that was 19 20 proved out?
- 21 A. I explained earlier that if you have an
- 22 original email, there is a header to it,
- 23 okay? And in that header, it shows the IP
- 24 address where it was produced from.
- 25 Now, this isn't an original. This
- 46 48
- is a copy, of course. So you probably have 1
- an original somewhere that you could go and 2
- 3 investigate that.
- 4 Q. Okay. But you don't currently have an 5
 - original of this email?
- 6 A. Of course not. I have never seen this email
- 7 other than when it was produced to me by the 8
- attorney in New York, which was used as
- 9 evidence in the State of New York.
- 10 Q. But it appears to come from Armor 247 dot com 11 domain and it's dated August 17, 2008.

Who was, by August 17, 2008, were you the administrator of Armor 247 dot com?

- A. I would have to go back and look at that. 14
- 15 But, I guess to answer your question in
- 16 short, I did not produce that document. The
- 17 only time I ever saw that document was when
- 18 it was shown to me by the attorney.
- And that's all I can tell you on 19 20 that, Paul.
- Q. You didn't have someone else produce it on 21 22 your behalf?
- 23 A. Of course not.

12 13

- Q. Okay. And you are not sure if by August 17th 24
- 25 you were the administrator of Armor 247 dot

- 1
- A. I'm going to guess that it was the case only 2

47

- 3 because of the timing when the postal
- 4 inspector people came in. But what I would
- 5 also add to that, I believe that was the time
- frame that I had already been instructed by
- 7 the federal folks to not communicate with
- 8 Lowenstein any longer.
- 9 Q. You had not communicated with Lowenstein
- 10 after getting that instruction?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Not by email?
- A. Not by any manner. 13
- Q. Not by phone? 14
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Have you ever sent an e-mail suggesting that
- you were the owner of 247 Coffee, the name 17
- 247 Coffee? 18
- 19 A. I'm going to say no.
- Q. How about the domain name 247 Coffee dot com? 20
- A. Well, again, no. 21
- Q. With the same question as to Brewlee? 22
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Same as to 247 Java?
- 25 Α.
- Q. Okay. Just confirming. 1
 - 2
 - 3 Q. Have you ever printed any materials with
 - 4 those names?
 - 5 A. Never.
 - 6 Q. Or had someone print materials?
 - 7 Α.
 - 8 Q. Created any websites using those names?
 - 9 Α. No.
 - 10 Q. Social media accounts, like Facebook?
 - A. No. 11
 - 12 Q. But other than Go Daddy, no one else you have
 - 13 indicated that you were the rightful owner --
 - maybe I will ask it separately because I know 14
 - you are making a distinction. Rightful owner 15
 - 16 of those domain names?
 - 17 A. I have never claimed to own those names.
 - 18 Q. Have you made any claims that you were the
 - rightful administrator of those names? 19
 - A. No. 20
 - 21 Q. Has anyone asked you if you or Dietz-Kane --
 - I know you are going to object -- has anyone 22
 - 23 asked you if you were affiliated with 247
 - Coffee? 24
 - 25 MR. OKONESKI: I will object on



2

6

7

8

13

15

17

49

behalf of the Dietz-Kane, but you can answer 1 2 on behalf of yourself.

- 3 A. No.
- BY MR. GODFREAD: 4
- Q. Same for Brewlee?
- A. Correct. 6
- 7 Q. Have you ever used or spoken -- let's say
- start real broad. Have you ever spoken those 8
- 9 names over the phone?
- 10 A. No, I have not.
- 11 Q. When did you first register the Dietz-Kane
- dot com domain? 12
- A. Actually, that's a great question. Vic 13
- DeLauriers and Chaim Lowenstein who I knew as 14
- Chaim, who I now understand it's a different 15
- 16 name -- actually coordinated efforts to build
- 17 the website for Dietz-Kane insurance of which
- 18 I paid for to Lowenstein for his efforts to
- 19 do that for me.
- 20 Q. Okay. When was that?
- 21 A. I can't be a hundred percent accurate, but
- 2.2 I'm going to say it was sometime in 2007.
- 23 Q. Okay. Dietz-Kane has always been
- administered by yourself? I should say 24
- Dietz-Kane dot com, the domain name? 25

50

- Dietz-Kane -- behind the scene website stuff.
- 3 I would have to revert that question to Vic,
- 4 my IT guy, to understand how that's really

A. I have never actually been into the

- 5
- set up.

2

- 6 Q. Vic has probably taken care of most of
- 7 Dietz-Kane dot com --
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. -- technical matters, let's say?
- A. I think so. But when it was built, it was 10
- 11 built by Lowenstein under the direction of
- 12 Vic because it was Lowenstein's desire to
- 13 want to learn how to do that stuff.
- Q. Learn how to build websites? 14
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. When you took administrative control let's 16
- 17 say of the Armor Core and related domain
- 18 names, did you contact Lowenstein to tell him
- that you had done that? 19
- A. Well, obviously on that following Monday 20
- 21 because I was dealing with the St. Paul
- 22 Police Department.
- Q. So on Monday you contacted Lowenstein? 23
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. How did you contact him?

1 A. Originally, more than likely by telephone.

And if I wouldn't have been successful there

51

52

- 3 it would have been by emails and so forth.
- 4 Q. Do you remember roughly what you said?
- A. Yeah, the whole deal had already been blown 5
 - out of proportion and the folks at Go Daddy locked everything down at that stage.

I explained to him the damage that

- 9 he had done to now that everything was locked
- down, they said that it would take two to 10
- 11 four weeks for their investigation and blah,
- 12 blah, blah,

And that he had smeared my name

- 14 because I got the police calling me. I
 - wanted my name cleared with the Go Daddy
- 16 folks that I wasn't a cyber criminal.

And they deserved an explanation

- 18 for all what he created on Sunday to make
- 19 that happen for Monday.
- Q. What did you tell Go Daddy then about the 20
- 21 transfer?
- A. That's not the way it works, Paul. You don't 22
- 23 get to tell Go Daddy. You don't get to talk
- 24 to them.
- 25 Q. Tell me how it works.

A. I had to send them an email to explain my 1

- 2 position, which I did.
- 3 Q. What was your position? How did you explain
- 4 your position?
- 5 A. That there was a dispute between my partner
- and I with our Record Technologies and that I 6
- 7 felt that a reasonable amount of time, things
- 8 could get straightened out but have to do
- 9 your thing and we'll do our thing.
- 10 Q. So you basically told them it was a business
- dispute? 11
- A. With Armor Core Technologies. 12
- 13 Q. Right.

21

- A. It was more than just a dispute, I think at 14
- that point I told them there was questionable 15
- 16 activities within our business that I was
- 17 trying to understand.

18 The email is there somewhere. In

- fact, that's with the postal people, too. 19
- 20 Q. The St. Paul police, had you contacted the
 - St. Paul police or had someone else contacted
- the St. Paul police? 22
- 23 A. Lowenstein had contacted the St. Paul police
- of which they in turn responded back to me. 24
- 25 Q. So on Monday, St. Paul police had known about



53

1 this and it was after St. Paul police had

- contacted you that you contacted Lowenstein? 2
- 3 A. Correct.
- Q. So if you contacted Lowenstein, you are 4
- assuming he already knew something about the 5
- domain names, something being changed about 6
- 7 the domain names?
- A. He lodged the complaint. 8
- Q. Had you filed any complaints of your own 9
- 10 before that Monday when the St. Paul police
- 11 was there?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. When was the most recent time you talked to 13
- Maria Albright? 14
- A. I'm not sure what you mean by that. 15
- Q. Most recently. 16
- A. Just about two weeks ago. 17
- Q. What was that conversation about? 18
- A. Well, in that conversation, I let her know 19
- 20 that I was now being forced to go to a
- 21 deposition, which is absolutely insane.
- 22 I was informed, as I had been in
- 23 the past, that this would be a separate
- 24 matter that I would need to handle directly
- 25 with Lowenstein and all that.
- 54

10

15 16

21

25

- But that she had her hands full
- 2 because he now had a different understanding
- 3 of his guilty pleading for his criminal
- 4 activities.
- 5 Q. Did she say anything to you about this
- 6 deposition?
- 7

1

- Q. Is there anyone else in the New York matter 8
- 9 that you have been in contact with, talking
- 10 to you about this case?
- MR. OKONESKI: Excuse me, Paul. 11
- Are you talking about the --12
- 13 BY MR. GODFREAD:
- 14 Q. The U.S. v Lowenstein matter.
- 15 MR. OKONESKI: Okay, thank you.
- 16 A. No.
- BY MR. GODFREAD: 17
- 18 Q. The U.S. attorneys, you have not been in
- contact with them? 19
- 20 A. No.
- Q. And they have not been in contact with you? 21
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Nor Mr. Lowenstein's attorney in that case,
- they have not contacted you, to your 24
- 25 knowledge?

- 1 A. No. There are public records on what had
- 2 occurred out there though. And I was curious

55

56

- 3 to know what was going on.
- 4 Q. So you read at least public records?
- A. I saw the guilty plea on his part. 5
- Q. Where did you see that?
- 7 A. Where did I see that?
- 8 Q. Yeah.
- 9 A. I contacted the courthouse and got a copy of
- 10 it.

15

- 11 Q. But, I mean, you must have heard of it by
- 12 then to have decided to contact the
- courthouse? 13
- A. Paul, I was always very interested in what 14
 - was going on because, quite frankly, I was
- 16 told that they were going to take care of
- 17 this matter within a couple of weeks after
- they left my office. 18
- 19 This matter that has taken place
- 20 out in the State of New York against me and
- 21 this state should have never occurred and the
- fact of the matter is that had they reacted 22
- 23 as quickly as they said they were going to --
- 24 Q. Who is "they"?
- 25 A. -- there would never have been an opportunity

for Lowenstein to lodge such allegations 1

- 2 against me.
- 3 Q. Who is "they"? 4 A. Because it was never the intention. "They"
- 5 would be the folks from the federal postal
- 6 inspector's office.
 - MR. GODFREAD: Okay. I think we
- 7 8 are coming to the end. I just want to make
- 9 sure I'm not missing anything.
 - All right. I think that's it.
- Thank you very much. 11
- 12 MR. OKONESKI: Just a second. Mr.
- 13 Kane, we have the opportunity -- your counsel 14
 - has the opportunity to ask you some questions
 - if we wish to do that. Off the record.
 - (Discussion held off the record.)
- 17 (A short break was taken.)
- MR. OKONESKI: Back on the record. 18
- Thank you for the break, Paul. We don't have 19 20 any further questions of Mr. Kane at this
 - time.
- 22 Are you ready to close, Paul?
- 23 MR. GODFREAD: That's it. Thank
- you for coming here and submitting to the 24
 - questions. Did you want to sign or waive



Toll Free: 866.646.0618 Facsimile: 612.332.4233

Suite 500 701 4th Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55415 www.esquiresolutions.com

	57		59
1	signature? How do you want to do that?	1 Page NoLine NoChange	
2	MR. OKONESKI: No, we'll do the	2 to:	
3	read and sign, please.	Reason for change:	
4	That just means we have a chance to	i i	
5	read the transcript and make sure everything	4 Page NoLine NoChange	
6	is recorded correctly. And sometimes you can	to:	
7	make some clarifications and things like	5 Reason for change:	
· '	<u> </u>	6 Page NoLine NoChange	
8	that. You might want to check for spellings	7 to:	
9	and things.	8 Reason for change:	
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.	-	
11	(The deposition concluded at 10:30 a.m.)	9 Page NoLine NoChange to:	
12		10	
13		Page NoLine NoChange	
14		11 to:	
15		12	
16		13 14	
17		SIGNATURE:	
18		15 THOMAS KANE, JR.	
19		16	
20		17 DATE:	
21		18 19	
22		20	
23		21 22	
24		23	
25		24 25	
	58		<u> </u>
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	1 STATE OF MINNESOTA)	60
2	Our Assignment No. 262990 Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN	2	
	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN vs.		
2 3 4	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN vs. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) 3 Be it known that I took the	
3	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN vs. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) 3 4 Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August	
3 4 5	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN vs. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a	
3 4 5 6	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of	
3 4 5	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to	
3 4 5 6	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath;	
3 4 5 6	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath.	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to	
3 4 5 6 7 8	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011.	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath.	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page No Line No Change	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page NoLine NoChange to:Line NoChange	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page No Line No Change	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my ability; That I am not related to any of	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page No Line No Change to: Reason for change:	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my ability; That I am not related to any of the parties hereto nor interested in the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page No Line No Change to:	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my ability; That I am not related to any of the parties hereto nor interested in the outcome of the action; That the reading and signing by	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page No Line No Change to:	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my ability; That I am not related to any of the parties hereto nor interested in the outcome of the action; That the reading and signing by the witness and Notice of Filing were not	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page No Line No Change to: Reason for change Page No Line No Change to: Reason for change	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my ability; That I am not related to any of the parties hereto nor interested in the outcome of the action; That the reading and signing by the witness and Notice of Filing were not waived;	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page No Line NoChange to: Page No Line NoChange to: Reason for change Page No Line NoChange to:	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my ability; That I am not related to any of the parties hereto nor interested in the outcome of the action; That the reading and signing by the witness and Notice of Filing were not waived; Witness my hand and seal this 22nd	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page No Line NoChange to: Page No Line NoChange to: Reason for change Page No Line NoChange to:	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my ability; That I am not related to any of the parties hereto nor interested in the outcome of the action; That the reading and signing by the witness and Notice of Filing were not waived; Witness my hand and seal this 22nd day of August, 2011.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page No Line NoChange to: Page No Line NoChange to: Reason for change Page No Line NoChange to: Reason for change Page No Line NoChange	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my ability; That I am not related to any of the parties hereto nor interested in the outcome of the action; That the reading and signing by the witness and Notice of Filing were not waived; Witness my hand and seal this 22nd day of August, 2011.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page No Line NoChange to: Reason for change: Page No Line NoChange to: Reason for change Page No Line NoChange to: Reason for change Page No Line NoChange to: Reason for change Page No Line NoChange	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my ability; That I am not related to any of the parties hereto nor interested in the outcome of the action; That the reading and signing by the witness and Notice of Filing were not waived; Witness my hand and seal this 22nd day of August, 2011.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Case Caption: LOWENSTEIN VS. KANE-DEITZ DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. Signed on the day of, 2011. THOMAS KANE, JR. DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET Page No Line No Change to: Reason for change: Page No Line No Change to: Reason for change Page No Line No Change to: Reason for change Page No Line No Change	COUNTY OF RAMSEY) Be it known that I took the deposition of THOMAS KANE, Junior, on August 18, 2011; That I was then and there a notary public in and for the County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath; That the witness before testifying was by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth and nothing but the truth relative to said cause; That the testimony of said witness was recorded in stenotype by myself and transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my ability; That I am not related to any of the parties hereto nor interested in the outcome of the action; That the reading and signing by the witness and Notice of Filing were not waived; Witness my hand and seal this 22nd day of August, 2011.	



Toll Free: 866.646.0618 Facsimile: 612.332.4233

Suite 500 701 4th Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55415 www.esquiresolutions.com

A	47:5	56:1	37:15 39:3	13,14,22 32:9
a.m	additional	allow	40:3,13 44:12 46:15 49:1	33:9 36:6,10,
57:11	15:6,25	32:6		17,18,20 38:2,21 42:4,
	address	allowed	answered	6 43:15,17,25
ability 60:14	22:3 43:15	27:7	5:1,19 24:13	46:10,13,25
	45:24	allows	40:16 42:3	50:17 52:12
able	addresses	15:3	answering	around
5:4,12 22:8 26:21 31:5	41:3	already	3:20	9:25
32:6 36:9	administer	3:125:24	answers	
41:6,9,12	31:12 60:8	44:9 47:6	5:1,5,8,13	arrest
	administered	51:5 53:5	12:24 13:2	
aboard 10:14	49:24	Also	anymore	asked
-	administrativ	2:914:11	17:20	20:24 29:11
absolutely		20:4 22:18	appear	30:248:21,23
53:21	e 36:1 41:10,17	25:5 26:4	43:2	asking
accept	50:16	31:1 40:17	APPEARANCES	7:24 14:10
17:20		47:5	2:1	24:6,14 37:25
acceptable	administrator	although	appears	assignment
23:12	25:17 26:4	16:23	46:10	15:5 58:1
access	27:15 33:22	always		Associates
33:11 37:3	34:6,9,14,24 40:22 46:13,	15:7 16:5	applied 42:7	1:7
account	25 48:19	21:17 25:7		assuming
26:8 31:7		26:15 38:25	approximatel	13:21 53:5
41:4	affiliated 48:23	49:23 55:14	У	attempt
accounts		America	1:19	31:11
18:23 48:10	Agency	22:21	area	attempted
accurate	1:78:619:24	amount	24:24 32:15	16:23
33:5 36:3	ago	52:7	36:10 38:10	
49:21 58:6	53:17	amounts	41:19	attempting 42:4,8
accusation	agreed	39:22	areas	·
30:3 33:19	16:2		16:10	attempts
	ahead	and/or	Ariel	25:4 38:3,5,
action	4:1 15:1	58:7	2:7	8,13
6:7 60:16	16:17 23:2	another	Armor	attended
activities	40:11	4:78:11,18	10:3,5,8	10:24
15:17 52:16	ahold	9:313:11	11:11 18:23	attention
54:4	21:11	33:19 45:1	20:18 24:24	12:20
activity	Albright	answer	25:20,24,25	attorney
29:13	13:8,914:17	9:11 14:13	26:2,7,14,17	3:12 17:21,
actual	21:20 53:14	19:16 23:2	27:2,10,14,	22,25 18:1
13:10 30:9	allegations	24:9,19 25:9,	18,21 29:5,	35:24 40:17
add	13:6 24:6	12 26:6 27:4 29:22 30:5,9	14,19,20	46:8,18 54:23
		<u>49.44</u> 30.5,3	30:24 31:5,	attorneys



Thomas Kane August 18, 2011 62

54:18	3:54:4,8	47:22 49:5	 calls	 certainly
August	10:15,22	brief	15:1 23:1	18:6 22:7
1:16 46:11,	12:18 26:24	28:2	35:1 37:13	cetera
12,2460:4,20	28:1 33:1	bring	came	11:23
authorized	34:9,10,24,25	10:12,22	10:14 13:12,	Chaim
60:7	41:12 53:6,20	12:17 15:25	13,15 15:24	1:3 49:14,15
Avenue	believe	bringing	22:6 33:1	
1:17	6:3,613:10	11:3	45:17 47:4	chance 14:9 57:4
aware	14:16 20:6	broad	capacity	
38:1	25:14,20 32:24 34:23	7:15 49:8	19:19	change
awful	35:23 36:3,5	broke	Caption	27:22 41:12, 19 42:7
18:12	42:24 43:24	11:13,16	58:2	58:17,20,22,
	47:5	l '	captioned	25 59:3,5,8
В	best	brought 42:22	58:5	
back	60:14		card	changed 53:6
9:21 11:21	better	build	26:24 27:5	
17:1,5,15	30:18	49:16 50:14	32:21 41:23	changes 36:24 58:7,8
21:10,22 25:4		built	care	<u> </u>
32:6,12,18	between	50:10,11	16:5 50:6	changing
38:3 44:13 46:14 52:24	11:14 24:22 25:2 34:9,23	bunch	55:16	41:15,17,22
56:18	52:5	13:19 27:16	career	channel
		business	8:4	10:17
background 6:13 7:12 8:3	big 35:4	8:2,16,17,20,		check
12:6,16		21,229:1,6,7	case 7:10 13:23,25	33:4 34:20
	bit	10:2,18,20	14:18 22:22,	57:8
based 45:8,10	6:13	20:1 21:8	23 23:8 24:3,	checks
•	blah	29:13 37:18 43:18 52:10,	12,17 39:4,13	16:22 17:3,7
basically	17:18 51:11,	16	47:254:10,23	18:17,21
37:8 52:10	12		58:2	claimed
basics	block	businesses 8:13	cash	48:17
16:17	42:2		18:21	claims
basis	blown	C	cashed	13:7 14:5
39:6	51:5	С	16:23	48:18
becoming	book	3:1	caught	clarificatio
40:22	8:12 9:10	call	16:24	n
BEHALF	books	16:21 20:17,		4:19
2:2,65:2,5,	9:916:4,7	24 27:8,25	cause 60:10	clarificatio
15 11:1 46:22	both	called		ns
49:1,2	5:11	3:510:3,9	CEO 11:14,20	57:7
behavior	break	17:14		clarify
45:11,12	56:17,19	calling	certain	18:9
being	Brewlee	51:14	9:21 31:22	1 10.3
	1 == = :: = = =	I	I	I



Thomas Kane August 18, 2011 63

	I			1 4 4 5 6 . 1 2
clarifying	communicated	contact	copies	14:4 56:13
29:24	47:9	36:1 41:18	5:25 24:21	County
clear	communication	50:18,25	42:10,15	1:15 60:2,6
15:9,16 24:4	s	54:9,19,21	сору	couple
cleared	32:5	55:12	4:22 6:11	10:24 55:17
51:15	companies	contacted	35:23 39:15	course
clearly	19:7	14:22 28:10,	46:1 55:9	36:15,22
3:18,22 44:19	company	20,25 29:7	Core	46:1,6,23
client	8:11,14,18	30:21 31:2,9	10:3,6,8	COURT
30:2 45:11	9:3 10:9,10,	50:23 52:20,	11:11 18:23	1:1 60:23
	11 11:1,2,14	21,23 53:2,4 54:24 55:9	20:18 24:24	courthouse
client's 30:12	12:5 16:1,4,		25:21,24,25	55:9,13
	21,2217:7,9,	contained	26:2,7,14,17	craziest
close	14 18:2 20:22	23:18	27:2,10,14,	21:2
15:13 56:22	21:9 22:14	context	19,21 29:5,19	
coffee	44:15,16	34:18	30:24 31:5, 14,15,23 32:9	create 28:11
21:8 26:5	compensated	continued	33:9 36:7,10,	
36:2,14 37:9	10:22	11:24	17,19,20	Created
47:17,18,20	complaint	contractor	38:2,21 42:4,	48:8 51:18
48:24	22:20 23:9,	7:3 19:10	6 43:17 50:17	creating
coincidentall	13,16 24:2,	control	52:12	20:10
У	13,16 53:8	24:25 27:9	Corp	credit
38:18	complaints	30:7 31:5	29:14,20	26:24 27:5
Com	53:9	33:24 41:5	31:13	32:21 41:23
17:12 25:25	computer	42:4 50:16	correct	crime
26:5 35:15	20:2	controlled	5:913:14	7:7 28:21
36:2,14 37:10	computers	26:14	25:14 26:3	criminal
43:15 46:10,	11:4 20:1	conversation	28:22 29:1	28:2,8 51:16
13 47:1,20		17:16 31:20	43:5 47:11	54:3
49:12,25 50:7	concepts	53:18,19	49:6 50:8,15	curious
come	34:1	conversations	53:3	55:2
39:14 46:10	concluded	11:24 17:2	corrections	currently
comfortable	57:11	convicted	58:7	46:4
22:5	conclusion	7:4,7	correctly	cut
coming	23:1 35:2	,	57:6	44:19,23 45:7
30:25 56:8,24	37:13	cooperate 15:8,16 38:16	corresponden	
commencing	conclusions		_	cyber 28:1,8,21
1:18	14:11	cooperating	ce 25:2	51:16
Commerce	confirm	24:20		<u> </u>
17:11	45:2	coordinated	couldn't	D
communicate	confirming	49:16	9:20 16:9	D
14:23 47:7	41:22 48:1	copied	33:5,8,9	3:1
11-25 11-1		25:10	Counsel	



Daddy 25:21 26:8	declare 58:4	details 16:13 39:21	53:24 disappointme	34:3,10,15, 19,24,25
27:2,22 28:14,18 31:1,20 32:17 34:20 35:8,	DEFENDANT 2:64:7,8,13 6:2140:6	determine 15:12 21:17 22:2 43:10	nt 11:23 discovered	36:17,18,21 37:18 41:15 46:11 47:20 48:16 49:12,
15,22 36:10, 24 37:5,9,17 41:25 48:12	Defendants 1:8 Deitz-Kane 1:7	44:15 determining 43:7	26:16 32:20 Discussion 56:16	25 50:17 53:6,7 domains
51:6,15,20,23 damage 51:8	DeLauriers 49:14	develops 20:5 didn't 4:12:12:6	disengaged 20:22 dishonesty	14:21 29:6 30:19,22 31:6,22 38:1 41:11,18
data 10:12:11:25 12:7,10	DeLauries 19:2 20:9 demand 44:11	13:13 27:1 32:1,9 33:10 38:6,11 42:15	7:8 18:12 dispute 52:5,11,14	42:13 doors 12:18
date 9:16,2013:10 59:17 dated	department 28:1,4,5,8 50:22	44:10 46:21 Dietz-Kane 4:10,13 5:3,	distinction 34:16,18,23 48:15 DISTRICT	dot 25:25 26:5 35:15 36:2,14
46:11 day 22:15 25:8	depose 19:12 deposed	8,20 19:6,10, 13 44:7 48:21 49:1,11,17, 23,25 50:2,7	1:114:1 division 28:7	37:9 43:15 46:10,13,25 47:20 49:12, 25 50:7
58:9 60:20 days 15:4,11 25:22	3:15 4:4,8 deposit 18:23	Dietz-Kane's 5:18 difference	document 12:21 13:1 23:7 42:19,24	double 33:3 down
deal 38:21 51:5 dealing 50:21	Deposition 1:12,14 2:14 3:2,14 4:16 5:6 23:14,21	34:8 35:5 different 4:22 17:24 27:16 31:23	46:16,17 documents 24:10 39:3, 11,12,15,23	11:13,16 28:14 32:14 33:1 37:5,19 51:7,10
dealings 8:17,2131:1 deceit	53:21 54:6 57:11 58:1,5, 7,14 60:4,13	34:1 36:11 44:20,24 45:3,9 49:15 54:2	40:5,16 doesn't 5:20	drama 28:11 due
7:8 deception 18:13	describe 7:11 description 28:2	digits 27:5 diligence	doing 10:20 11:18 24:21 33:17 38:15 41:8	11:2 26:7 28:13 32:19 duly
decided 30:455:12 decision 28:2329:5	deserved 51:17 desire	32:20 dilute 44:15	42:9 domain 7:18,19 25:17	3:5 60:7,9 During 8:19 15:10 25:15 32:25
30:19,20,23 DECLARATION 58:4	50:12 detail 12:3,4	direction 50:11 60:13 directly	26:1,4 27:16 28:24 30:5,8 31:12,23 33:16,25	duties 16:6



	I	1	1	1
E	ended	Exhibit	11:8 40:24	folks
E	11:13	3:24:25	fairly	28:14 32:17
3:1	engaged	5:11,236:2	8:24 22:5	33:1 47:7
each	10:2 18:2	12:21 22:19	far	51:6,16 56:5
4:22 6:11 9:9	entertain	35:8 42:17,18	7:15 15:2	following
13:2	11:3	Exhibits	February	27:24 50:20
earlier	entire	2:18 4:23	16:11,20	follows
29:3 43:6	8:4 11:25	expect	federal	3:6
45:21	58:5	39:20	13:12 47:7	forced
East	entitled	experienced	56:5	53:20
44:8	23:18 29:21	7:1	feel	forget
effect		explain	23:15	18:5
36:23 37:2	ERRATA 58:1,8,14	52:1,3	felony	format
effort		explained	7:5	21:24
26:19 38:19	escalate	8:18 29:8	_	
	18:11	45:21 51:8	felt 16:24 22:5	forth 4:16 11:2
efforts 28:13 49:16,	et	explaining	52:7	12:1 21:9
18	11:23	31:21		41:13 51:3
	everybody		Fifth	
email	25:8	explanation 51:17	2:3	forward 20:23 42:22
6:5 21:2,5,6 33:10 41:3	evidence		figure	
43:2,4,8,10,	40:23 41:1,9	expressed	29:17	forwarded
15,19,24	42:9 46:9	11:22	figuring	17:5
44:3,4,10,14	exact	eye	15:13	foundation
45:4,14,16,	9:16,20	44:21 45:6	file	6:9
19,22 46:5,6	exactly	F	13:19	four
47:12 52:1,18	11:12 14:4	face	filed	27:5 51:11
 e-mail	33:8	44:24,25	53:9	frame
47:16	Examination	45:1,3	Filing	29:25 32:4,25
emails	2:16 3:8	Facebook	60:17	47:6
20:10,13	examined	48:10	find	frankly
21:17 22:2	3:6	faces	12:7,10 28:10	55:15
26:18 29:18	example	45:9	30:18,23 43:7	fraud
32:12 38:6,22	8:24	fact	finish	15:20
39:2,25 41:3		20:20 27:20	14:8	frequently
42:10,17	exchanges	45:8 52:19	first	14:23
43:9,12 44:13		55:22	3:5 10:4	Friday
51:3	excuse	facts	12:11 13:9	26:9 28:17,19
employee	16:12 17:8 54:11	7:10 22:22	28:17,18 37:4	front
19:6		23:8,9,17	49:11 60:9	25:8
end	excuses	24:2,11,15	folders	
38:14,24 56:8	16:8 17:20	fair	13:20	fruitless
	l	Tall	-3 -3	38:12



full 54:1 fully 24:19 further 16:19 56:20 G 3:1 game 11:22 16:3 18:10 28:12	15,22 36:9,24 37:5,9,17 40:11,18 41:25 45:5 46:2,14 48:12 51:6,15,20,23 53:20 Godfread 2:3,17 3:10, 11,24 4:5,21 5:10,15,24 6:2,10,12 7:17 14:6,15 15:18 16:18	good 3:23 35:7 great 49:13 guess 22:21 23:19 24:14 32:22 35:4 38:7 46:15 47:2 Guessing 42:7 guilty 54:3 55:5	help 10:15 11:15 26:19 helping 10:9,21 her 5:25 18:5 21:12 53:19 54:1 hereof 58:8 hereto 60:15	<pre>immediately 21:10 inaccurate 36:5 included 5:11 24:23 29:16 independent1 y 10:11 INDEX 2:13</pre>
Gardner 1:14 60:22 gate 12:19 gave 28:2 generally 5:18 19:17	17:23 19:15, 18 23:6,13,22 24:1 29:24 30:14,17 34:2,7,17,22 35:6,13 37:23 39:9,24 40:20 49:4 54:13,17	guy 41:21 50:4 H hadn't 33:13 hand 60:19	highlights 8:5 hired 19:21 home 7:1 honest	indicated 48:13 58:7 Indicating 44:25 individual 38:21 industry 7:16
generated 22:2 getting 18:18,19 47:10 give 9:15,20 16:13 20:7 38:9 given	56:7,23 goes 37:19 going 3:17 4:10 7:14 9:21 11:18 13:17 14:3,25 15:14 16:4 17:19 19:11 21:8,13	handle 53:24 hands 37:738:4 54:1 happen 32:151:19 happened 4:628:12	25:8 hour 31:25 hundred 49:21 I idea 11:3,25 16:25 27:17	infected 20:2 inference 30:6 information 29:12 41:18, 23 42:3 informed 21:16 53:22
4:225:24 15:540:17 60:13 go 12:213:13 15:116:17 17:123:2 25:2126:8,22 27:2,22 28:14,18 31:1,2032:17 34:2035:8,	22:25 25:2 26:13 29:15 30:4,7,11,24 32:12 34:13 37:12 38:25 39:5,17,21 41:20 44:14 47:2,19 48:22 49:22 55:3, 15,16,23 gone 21:10 28:18	29:8 30:20 happening 11:13,19 29:19 31:19 haven't 39:16,19 header 45:22,23 heard 55:11 held 56:16	<pre>identificati on 3:3 identifying 13:5 illegal 15:17 illustration 6:5 imagine 42:6 45:5</pre>	



				0 /
13:8 21:12	24:10 25:12		 KANE-DEITZ	18:13
28:25 47:4	39:3 40:2,3	Java	58:3	lacked
inspectors	introduced	47:24	keep	16:10
20:16	12:12	JR	32:10 34:16	laid
inspector's	intrusion	1:12 2:14	keeper	21:7
24:20 56:6	7:2	58:11 59:15	12:19	last
instructed	invested	July		18:5 27:5
47:6	10:11	13:11	kept 38:23	later
instruction	investigate	Junior		43:22
47:10	29:15,23	1:6 60:4	kind	
	30:10,19 46:3		10:4,14 19:25	lawsuit
Insurance 1:75:38:6,	investigation	just	38:5 39:18 41:1	6:17,19,23, 25 13:7
9,14,17,20,	25:16 29:16	3:21 4:2,17, 18,23 5:4		
22,25 9:5	32:17 51:11	6:3,10,13	kinds	lay
12:5 19:24		8:5,18 14:8	38:2,22 40:5	6:9
21:7 44:7	investigator 10:19	15:7,10 16:12	knew	layman
49:17		17:19 18:9	9:18 25:1	14:10
intending	investment	23:10 24:4	49:14 53:5	learn
12:8,14	12:12	25:6,20 29:8,	know	27:13 50:13,
	investors	10,2430:1,2	3:12 4:11,25	14
intent 40:22	16:1	31:12 33:18,	8:15 9:15	learned
	invited	23 34:5,17	11:12 14:19	25:5
intention	39:14	35:14 38:20	15:9 17:10	least
33:15,17	involve	39:1,25 40:9,	19:19 21:3,12 23:20 30:1	29:21 55:4
36:13,16 56:4	9:8 41:14,16	11,13 41:15,	36:23 37:1,17	led
intentionally	involved	21 45:3,8	44:3,18,19,	17:1 26:10,23
30:11 33:20	13:21 14:5	48:1 52:14	21,23 45:7	38:25
interaction	17:21,22	53:17 56:8,12 57:4	48:14,22	left
10:5	involves		53:19 55:3	15:5 55:18
interested	13:5	K	knowing	legal
55:14 60:15	involving	K	25:7	6:14 13:22,23
internet	7:8	43:23	knowledge	14:11 23:1
7:18,19	IP	Kane	7:13,19 13:6	35:2 37:13
interrogating	22:3 45:23	1:6,122:14	20:9 22:8	leisure
13:20		3:4,11 4:4	54:25	39:16
interrogatori	issue 7:10	5:56:9,13	known	LeLauries
es		14:1,12,18	9:13 19:3,4	19:1
5:1,2113:3	issues	20:11 29:15	52:25 60:4	
39:7	28:21	33:21,25	knows	Let's
	items	35:25 39:21	14:4,17	7:25 36:14 42:17 49:7
Interrogatory 13:518:25	25:4 27:23	56:13,20 58:11 59:15		50:9,16
20:6 22:18	IT-related	60:4	L	
	19:21	00.4	lack	letting
1				



				00
21:12	9:21 20:7	20:3	memory	10:22
life	29:18 32:12	manner	41:20	money
3:16 22:12	38:14 39:8	47:13	mention	44:6
likely	40:18 42:17	Maplewood	20:6	month
51:1	46:14	2:8		16:11
	looked		mentioned	
limit	4:13 21:13	Maria	28:23 31:4	months
5:12	31:14 43:9	13:7,914:16	41:24	16:8 20:21
line	looking	21:20 53:14	merely	most
44:5 59:9,10	10:12 35:15	mark	6:5	5:4 50:6
list		5:22	met	53:13,16
4:12 39:2	lot	marked	3:12 10:17,25	mouth
listed	18:12	3:3 35:12	11:6	30:13
13:7 18:25	Lowenstein	market	mid	move
22:19 23:7	1:3 3:13 8:1	10:13,2311:4	22:13	7:25 20:23
39:11	9:14 10:5,17,	12:15,17		31:22 32:1
	25 11:6,17,		mind	
lists	21,2214:1,	materials	30:7 32:10	multiple
22:20	18,20 15:21	48:3,6	mine	12:8
little	17:3,16 18:2	matter	32:21	must
6:13 12:4	22:21 24:7,	3:14 4:18	Minneapolis	55:11
23:24	14,22 25:3,18	24:8 53:24	1:18 2:4	myself
local	26:15,25	54:8,14	MINNESOTA	15:16 24:23
27:25	28:10 29:14	55:17,19,22	1:1,16,18	25:3 32:14
lock	32:5,14	58:5	2:4,814:2	60:12
36:12,13,16	36:12,13 37:4 41:4 44:11	matters	44:8 60:1,7	N N
locked	45:17 47:8,9	13:22,23 50:9	 mis-	37
28:14 32:13	49:14,18	mean	35:11	N 3:1
33:1 37:5	50:11,18,23	6:21 8:15		
51:7,9	52:23 53:2,4,	11:17 12:4	misheard 29:4	naked
lodge	25 54:14 56:1	33:20 36:18		44:21 45:6
56:1	58:2	40:5 43:17	missing	name
		45:2 53:15	56:9	10:10 17:9,15
lodged	Lowenstein's 29:23 38:3	55:11	misunderstoo	18:5,626:1,2
53:8	50:12 54:23	Meaning	d	27:1,6 32:22
logged		36:8,9	9:11	34:10,11,19,
31:4	M	means	moment	24,25 35:25
long	mailed	33:5 57:4	23:10	36:10,18,19
9:13 16:14,16	18:18,19			38:241:22
19:3 20:15	35:23	media 48:10	Monday	43:19 47:17,
21:23	making		27:24 28:20	20 49:16,25
longer	25:25 38:19	meet	50:20,23	51:13,15
47:8	48:15	13:9	51:19 52:25 53:10	names
look	malfunction	members		7:18,20 25:17
100%	marrunceron	29:20	monetarily	27:16 28:24



30:5,8 31:12, 13 33:16,25 34:4,15 36:17,21 48:4,8,16,17, 19 49:9 50:18	55:20 Nikolai 2:9 No.10-CV- 02857-SRN-AJB	4:11 7:14 14:4,25 19:11 22:25 37:12 39:6 48:22,25 objected 5:18	54:15 56:7 57:10 Okoneski 2:7 4:2,6 5:7,14,17 6:1,8 7:14	outset 4:3 over 21:8 25:20 32:1 38:11 39:14 49:9
53:6,7 narrative 16:15 narratives 16:16 narrow	1:5 No.Change 58:15,18,21, 23 59:1,4,6, 9,10 No.Line	objection 14:14 30:15 33:19 35:1 objections 5:20 obviously	14:3,8,25 16:14 17:8, 13,24 19:11 22:25 23:10 29:10 30:1,16 33:18 34:3,12 35:1,11 37:12	owned 11:14 19:7 25:18 37:6 owner 34:10,25 47:17 48:13,
7:15 narrower 23:24 nature 6:25 8:12 20:5	58:15,18,21, 23 59:1,4,6 North 2:7 Notary 1:15 60:6	50:20 occasionally 20:2 occurred 26:7 28:3 55:2,21	39:5,10 40:9 48:25 54:11, 15 56:12,18 57:2 old 45:16	15 owns 37:9 P
necessarily 41:14 necessary 41:16 need 4:19 5:22	note 39:10 nothing 11:19 15:15 17:17 22:9 27:18 28:16 60:10	offer 58:8 office 13:12,1515:4 17:418:20 20:1624:21	once 21:11 25:4 41:6 open 12:18 opened	3:1 Page 2:16 22:19 25:13 39:8 58:15,18,21, 23 59:1,4,6, 9,10
23:15 33:3 40:14 53:24 needed 17:5 27:22 neither 32:14	Notice 1:13 4:16 60:17 November 15:24 number	25:23 33:7 39:13 55:18 56:6 Oh 42:11 Okay 3:17,24 5:7,	37:20 opportunity 12:13 39:19 55:25 56:13, 14 order	<pre>paid 10:15 37:21 49:18 paper 25:6 paragraph</pre>
Never 7:9 18:22 22:16 34:14 37:20 42:25 46:6 48:5,7, 17 50:1 55:21,25 56:4	15:23 17:15 25:13 35:10 44:17 Numbers 3:2	3:17,245:7, 146:1,87:4, 259:714:13 18:7,1720:25 21:4,21,22 22:1824:18 25:1226:6 27:9,1128:9	27:20 36:12 44:6 original 21:24 45:16, 22,25 46:2,5 originally 42:22 51:1	39:8 paraphrasing 37:8 part 5:46:718:13 28:1329:16 37:2240:21
New 6:7,18 17:5 18:18 22:3, 11,12 42:23 46:8,9 54:8	O 3:1 oath 58:960:8 object	33:12 35:7 36:21 37:24 45:2,23 46:4, 24 48:1 49:20,23	originated 21:17 outcome 60:16	42:255:5 particular 21:6 parties



				70
20:11 60:15 partner 8:11 9:4 52:5 part-time 9:1 party 6:19 password 27:2,22 36:11 38:9 41:12, 15,23 paste 44:19,23 45:7 Patricia 18:5 Paul 2:3 3:11 4:2 14:9 18:18,20 19:14 23:21 24:4 26:9 28:5,7,20 33:19 35:12 37:17 39:5 44:8,22 46:20 50:21 51:22 52:20,21,22, 23,25 53:1,10 54:11 55:14 56:19,22 pay 26:25 paying 44:6 PENALTY 58:4 people	23:11 period 8:10 32:4,11, 13 PERJURY 58:4 permission 40:18 person 7:23 13:12,21 16:24 18:25 19:20 21:23 27:12 personally 19:23 perspective 25:19 Peter 2:9 phone 16:21 17:15 20:17,24 27:25 31:21, 25 47:14 49:9 phony 45:13 piece 42:4 place 12:11 15:20 55:19 placed 14:20 19:15 37:4 Plaintiff	please 57:3 plural 30:23 point 14:19 17:14 20:21 22:6 27:9 33:6 37:25 38:7,10 52:15 police 27:25 28:4,5, 7,20 50:22 51:14 52:20, 21,22,23,25 53:1,10 position 52:2,3,4 possible 31:12,17 38:20 possibly 43:2 postal 13:8 20:15 21:12 24:8,20 25:15 28:25 29:6 30:21,25 31:2,8 33:1 47:3 52:19 56:5 posted 42:12 potential 28:21 power	40:23 41:2,8, 9 42:8 pretty 33:5 previous 17:2 primarily 10:19 principal 4:7 principle 11:25 print 48:6 printed 6:3 23:23 35:22 48:3 printout 35:16 prior 30:24 probably 16:17 32:20 39:22 46:1 50:6 problem 9:13 proceeding 6:15 process 38:19 produce 38:6,7 46:16, 21 produced	12:7,11 proportion 51:6 proposal 12:17 prove 22:9 proved 45:17,20 provide 15:6 27:3 provided 12:25 25:11 Public 1:15 55:1,4 60:6 publication 8:12 published 9:9 publishing 9:10 22:14 purportedly 20:10 pursuant 1:13 pursued 11:1 put 3:25 34:8 putting 30:12 Q question
58:4		28:21		



				/ 1
49:13 50:3 questionable 52:15 questioning 28:1 questions 4:24 5:13,18 27:4 40:10,14 56:14,20,25 quickly 55:23 quite 55:15 R R R 3:1 Ramsey 1:16 60:2,7	20,22,25 59:3,5,8 reasonable 23:14 52:7 reasons 15:23 rebate 17:7 18:17 recall 15:2 23:11 24:3 received 16:20 20:16 21:2 27:25 45:15 recollection 23:17 29:11 record	refresh 23:16 regarding 14:21 register 49:11 registrant 35:25 36:6 relate 13:23 14:17 22:23 24:3,7 39:4,13 related 29:13 31:13 50:17 60:15 relates 26:22 relating	reservations 32:8 resolved 38:17 42:13 responded 52:24 result 32:16 retain 27:20 revert 50:3 review 23:12,15 39:15 right 3:11 4:21 6:22 8:5	38:1 save 58:6 saw 46:17:55:5 saying 19:1:30:22 37:9:43:3 45:12 scene 50:2 scenes 26:13 screen 35:16 seal 60:19 second
1:16 60:2,7 ran 8:10 reached 11:6 reacted 55:22 read 44:4 55:4 57:3,5 58:5,6 reading 60:17 reads 44:3 ready	4:1,17 14:14 15:10 33:4 34:9 35:14 37:14 52:6 56:15,16,18 60:13 recorded 3:21 57:6 60:12 records 9:22 55:1,4 recovery 10:13 12:1,7, 10 refer	22:22 26:2 36:17 relationship 8:1 relative 60:10 release 32:9 released 32:18 relevant 23:8 24:11,16 remember 10:10 32:24	6:228:5 14:1616:13 19:130:18 33:1539:25 43:2344:1 52:1356:10 rightful 48:13,15,19 rights 41:10 role 10:18 roughly 14:2251:4 running 8:1412:5	second 20:7 29:10 33:18 40:10 44:5 56:12 see 4:12 16:4 29:18 30:10 31:11 35:24 41:7 42:2,21 43:9 44:20,24 45:6 55:6,7 seen 6:6 12:21 35:17,19 42:18,25 46:6 send
56:22 real 49:8 really 9:15 18:11 21:1 38:11 50:4 reason 32:2 58:17,	39:25 44:13 reference 40:1 referenced 40:4 referred 12:19 17:6 referring 13:24 20:13	39:21 51:4 report 35:9 REPORTER 60:23 request 23:14 requested 11:20	8:14 12:5 S S 3:1 sales 10:16 samples 11:17 sat	11:21 44:6 52:1 sent 6:4 17:4 20:12 21:5, 11,25 47:16 separate 4:15,16 5:9, 21 28:6 53:23



separately 48:14	44:11 simply	speculation 15:1	52:8 straightforw	suspect 15:22 32:19
service 25:15 29:6	12:18 17:19 simultaneousl	spellings 57:8	ard 8:25	suspected 15:11,19,20
30:21 31:2,8 services	у 9:5 31:19	spent 31:24	Street 2:3,744:7	suspicion 45:8,10
19:22	32:3	spoken	stucco	suspicions
set 21:14 27:14	site 32:7	20:19,20 49:7,8	7:1 stuff	15:24 suspicious
41:4 43:19 50:5	size 11:2	st 18:18,20	11:21 25:21 26:18 27:10,	29:13
share 33:6,8,9	slowly 3:18	28:5,7,20 44:8 50:21	20:16 27:10, 21 31:23 32:1,9 33:10	3:5 60:9
SHEET 58:1,8,14	<pre>smeared 51:13</pre>	52:20,21,22, 23,25 53:1,10	37:19,21 42:6 50:2,13	table
short 46:16 56:17	Social 48:10	stage 11:22 16:3	subject 4:18 5:19	16:18 take
shot 35:16	somewhere 44:18 46:2	18:10 28:12 51:7 standpoint	43:13,22 submitting 56:24	12:14 16:18 21:8 30:7 34:4 39:8
show 35:10 38:23	52:18 sorry	20:4 36:6	successful 51:2	42:17 44:16 51:10 55:16
showed 22:1	9:12,15 17:8 25:13 41:16	4:9 44:6 49:8 started	suggesting 47:16	taken 1:13,14,16
shown 46:18	sort 7:11	4:18 11:11 18:11	suit 14:20 37:4	16:5 25:20 33:13 39:16,
shows 10:24,25	sought 11:7	State 1:16 42:23	42:22	19 50:6 55:19 56:17 58:5
35:24 45:23 side	sounded 31:14	46:9 55:20,21 60:1,7	Suite 2:4	Taking 1:13 15:20
4:10 8:13,15 sign	source 43:8,10	stated	Sunday 51:18	talk 51:23
56:25 57:3	South	40:21 statement	sure 3:21 6:10,11	talked 53:13
signature 44:5 57:1 59:14	1:17 2:3 speak 3:18 39:7	40:24 STATES 1:1 22:20	7:25 8:15,23 10:1 11:9 13:4 16:20	talking 13:25 17:1
signed 13:158:9	specific 31:3	stenotype 60:12	18:10,15 22:11 23:4	23:13 27:21 54:9,12
signing 60:17	Specifically 15:23 24:15	stipulations 3:25	27:8 34:17 40:21 46:24	technical 7:11,12 12:6, 16 34:7 36:1
similar 35:19 36:19	25:10	straightened	48:2 53:15 56:9 57:5	37:22 50:9



Technologies 10:3 26:8 29:14 52:6,12 Technology 43:18 telephone 51:1 tell 14:11 16:22 35:2 44:22 46:19 50:18	18:11 20:5 26:7,10,22,25 31:18 32:21, 25 34:5 38:11,17,23 40:19 41:20 52:7 57:7,9 think 4:20 5:3,12, 17 9:18 16:16 22:12 24:15, 18 29:3 30:12	34:4,15 today 4:14,23 9:23, 24 together 9:17 10:3 11:11 told 9:3 17:17 45:15 52:10, 15 55:16	truth 60:10 try 14:13 15:2 23:2 37:15 44:14 trying 11:15 15:12 21:14 29:17, 23 31:25 34:4 52:17	58:4,860:12 underneath 27:15 42:5 understand 8:23 14:7 23:4 24:18 26:12 37:18, 22 40:12,15 49:15 50:4 52:17 understandin
51:20,23,25 telling 30:2 ten 19:4	33:4,20 35:3 40:4,15,17 42:20 43:18, 20 50:10 52:14 56:7,10	Tom 1:6 4:4 16:12 23:3,10 29:10 35:25 37:13 40:9 43:15,	turn 12:20 22:1 25:12 27:7 28:6,10 35:8 52:24	g 4:426:24 54:258:8 unfolding 38:24
testified 3:634:13 testify 60:10	THOMAS 1:12 2:7,14 3:4 58:11 59:15 60:4	23,25 top 35:16 trade	turned 22:3 two 9:17,23 34:1	UNITED 1:1 22:20 use 5:4
testifying 60:9 testimony	thought 21:3 three 4:22 15:4,10	10:25 trail 25:6 transcribed	45:9 51:10 53:17 type 44:24,25	user 27:1
60:11,13 testing 11:19	throughout 32:3 38:18 time	3:19 60:12 transcript 57:5 58:5	45:1,3,9 typewriting 60:12	v 14:18 24:14 54:14 various
Thank 7:16 54:15 56:11,19,23 thanks	3:16 4:1,20 8:10 11:7 17:14 20:22 22:12 24:23	transfer 38:11 51:21 transferred 33:25 34:15	typically 16:15 U	20:11 vendor 20:19 21:25
6:117:13 themselves 39:7	29:25 30:5 32:4,11,13, 23,25 33:13 35:7 38:10	transferring 33:15 34:3 41:10,14,17	U.S 13:8 24:13 54:14,18 ultimately	45:16 vendors 20:17,21 verification
thereof 60:7 thing 3:17 4:19	39:17 42:1 46:17 47:5 52:7 53:13 56:21	travel 22:11 true 25:16 37:2	11:19 15:13 16:9 17:21 27:13 32:16, 18	43:22 verify 43:20
44:12,19 52:9 things 4:10 8:12 11:13 15:11	timing 25:19 47:3 title	40:258:6 60:13 trust 18:13	under 4:3 24:24 27:6 38:1 43:19 50:11	verses 22:21 versus 14:1



				/
Vic	38:9 41:8	whole	wrong	1900
19:1,3,4,19	51:15	38:19,2151:5	29:3	2:4
20:9 21:23,25	wanting	60:10	Y	2
26:19 43:6	3:25	willing		
49:13 50:3,6,	wants	27:3	yeah	2
12	16:19 34:5	wish	3:16 13:17	2:19 3:2 6:2
Vicki	38:14	56:15	24:5 35:4	20:6 22:18
1:14 60:22	wasn't		42:11 43:17 51:5 55:8	35:8,14 39:3
victim	17:19 45:2	within		20
38:15	51:16	12:24 20:18 25:22 26:14	year	9:5
viewed		28:7 45:3	9:9,18 11:10,	2005
21:13	water 7:2	52:16 55:17	12	9:25
			years	2007
virtue	way	witness	8:9,199:5,	15:24 49:22
60:7	22:23 26:16,	3:56:14	17,23 19:5	2008
volunteered	21 27:13 28:6	17:11 57:10	York	13:11 14:22
29:12	30:18 36:5	60:9,12,13,	6:7,18 17:5	46:11,12
vs	51:22	17,19	18:18 22:4,	
1:5 58:2	web	wondered	11,12 42:23	2011
W	17:7,11 35:16	21:1	46:8,954:8	1:17 58:9
	website	words	55:20	60:5,20
Wait	20:4 24:24	30:12	yourself	2223
3:19	26:17 29:19	work	5:2 22:18	2:7
waive	36:10 49:17	10:20 19:23,	33:16 49:2,24	22nd
56:25	50:2	25		60:19
waived	websites	worked		247
60:18	20:5 40:23	9:4 18:1 19:7	'08	17:12 26:5
walked	42:12,15 48:8	43:6	16:20	36:2,14 37:9
25:22	50:14		1	43:15,25
	weeks	working	1	46:10,13,25
want 9:24 12:2	32:24 51:11	8:19 9:17	2:19 3:2 4:25	47:17,18,20,
19:12 21:3	53:17 55:17	11:11	12:21 22:19	24 48:23
23:12 24:4	we'll	works		262990
25:21 32:9	16:18 52:9	51:22,25	100 2:3	58:1
39:1 40:7,13	57:2	world		3
41:1 43:20		22:8	1030	
44:4,13 50:13	went	worry	57:11	3
56:8,25 57:1,	16:21 21:22	17:18	17	2:17,19 3:2
8	weren't	wouldn't	46:11,12	35:10 42:17,
	8:21	39:20 44:16	17th	18
wanted 6:10 15:7,15,	whatever	51:2	46:24	300
25 17:20 18:9	31:6	write	18	39:22
26:12 29:15,	whether	43:3 44:10	1:17 60:5	30-some
17,18 30:10	43:7	13.3 44.10		8:19
1 - / , 10 30 - 10	I -	1	I	I



	13
34	
8:9	
4	
4	
9:25	
400	
39:22	
4th	
1:17	
5	
5 22:19	
5000	
44:6,17	
55106	
44:8	
55109	
2:8	
55402 2:4	
6	
6 25:13 39:8	
674	
44:7	
6th 44:7	
7	
701 1:17	
1	
'80s	
22:13	
9	
9	
25:13	
900	
1:19	



Toll Free: 866.646.0618 Facsimile: 612.332.4233

Suite 500 701 4th Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55415 www.esquiresolutions.com